Dear Phil,

Although the press hardly gave a fair accounting of the triel, I have no doubt Garrison did not present a "beyond reasonable doubt" case. I also have no doubt he could have presented a much better case than he did, from my own work. Why? I can only conjecture. I think the major explanation is that he really believed what he was saying, that the federal government would prevent the case from ever coming to triel, so he spent himself in other areas, ignoring what was available and local. I also think he over-reacted, still does - hence says what I would not

I'd prefer to keep the Thornley correspondence until I sm certain there no need for it. There is a copied set in N.C. I have the originals. Which reminds me, Carrison's office was penetrated, as was his mind. Just last week they fired a guy not on the regular staff, hired to research on this subject only (and incompetent), who apparently confessed giving everything to the other side. I think it may be best to assume this includes copies of those letters, even though the arrangement I had was that they would stay in the chief investigator's files only. I say this because Dave Lifton once indicted to me a knowledge of the contents of some or that I had spoken to someone who might have been you. I gave him no hint, and he was not on the button. But what he was probing about is consistent with this. I presume he had this from Thornley (who made no such reference). However, Lifton also conjectures in a way that comes accross like he is presenting fact. Bear this possibility in mind if you write Thornley.

As a record for history or an intellectual exercise or a special kind of book, there may be a reason to complete "Manchester/Machiavelli". That will be in the distant future, if at all. It is already book-length and largely on paper, but the time is past. I have, however, continued writing. I have in the past few weeks copybighted limited editions of three works, one on all three assessinations, dating to June, with a few minor additions since (and no major revision) required, with all the developments, of which I am not unhappy), and two parts of a trilogy on the autopsy. When I clean up odds and ends and decide whether I'll have to or want to do another N.O. book I'll get back to the second of the autopsy books, already researched, and then return to AGINT CSWALD, largely researched and partly written. I do keep busy, and I do churn it cut. Thanks for you comment on the butter. Glad you do not find it sour and appreciate my feeling of the need for salt. I couldn't make it any other way.

2803 Marlin Ave, Tamps, K.T.

Our weather has just eased. The ice and snow are melting. We've had solid ice on the pond since late October. I have been getting little outdoors work in and feel it. So, I also am looking forward to appring and the exercise a man's body requires. The lack of it ages me. And losing 30 pounds weakened.

Much as New Orleans and what happened there disappoint me, much as I cannot approve some and deplore the incompetent trial, warranted as is the distrust now so often expressed, it nonetheless has moved us forward. Too complicated to explain now, but that has to it alone I now know what the X-rays show, have a book done on it, and am trying to carry it further.

PS Thanks for the Trans-action clipping. I'm glad to get and have such things on file. It is always easy for the so-called liberals (who are not, really, that) to pretentit what is not fact is and to address that with pseudo-philosophy tham to confront reality. They never do this and spew generously their ignorance cleaked in polite, reasonable language.

This sort of writing is a great disservice. The authors haven't the slighest idea of what they write about. No doubt they are simere and persuaded. But theers is science like the geograppy of the flat world.

What they do not want to believe (or the straw man selected instead) thus, as this case, becomes "myth". What they want to believe, to have believed, what they want to substitute for it they call "reality".

We live in a world where no one wants to work, where no one puts in the time to learn or to establish fact, where each accepts "authority" blindly but willingly, the alternative being that unwelcome work. We live with more myths than the ancients!

Thanks. Always glad to have this sort of thing and know what the learned fools are saying.